

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,819	09/05/2003	Ming T. Chen	9412	
7	590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert R. Ma	llinekrodt		BLAU, STEPH	IEN LUTHER
Thorpe North &	& Western LLP			
P O Box 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3711	•

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/656,819	CHEN, MING T.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen L. Blau	3711	

Advisory Action	10/656,819 CHEN, MING T.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen L. Blau	. 3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	pars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
 THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		•				
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) shove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered i	because			
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	ejected claims.				
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6,7 and 10 would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	arate, timely filed ame	endment			
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• •	-				
Claim(s) allowed: As stated in the Final Office Action. Claim(s) objected to: As stated in the Final Office Action.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).			
10.	on of the status of the claims after (entry is below or attac	ched.			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	,	_	Lau			
		/ I WIND I EARN	misel)			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that claims 1-5, 8 and 9 are definite since the specification does not discuss a specific golfer but by a number of golfers is disagreed with. The average ability of a number of golfers can also change over time. On page 13 line 9 in the response it is stated that the radius from the center within which most golfers will hit most shots is usually about half way from the center to either the toe or the heel of the club. The word "usually" itself is an indefinite term therefore the argument leads to indefinitness. In addition one group of golfers my have a different skill than a different group of golfers.

Continuation of 13. Other: The new list of claims and changes to the specification are agreed with and corrects the past deficiencies as commented on in the Office Action dated 15 February 2005 and as such has been entered.